

**RAP Meeting Transcribed Flipcharts**  
**April 9, 2013**

---

300 Area RI/FS Rev 0, Proposed Plan

- ☐ EPA provide info about MNA guidance, EnviroIssues distribute (Jean)
- Proposed Plan Rev 0 out for public comment in mid-June, 30 day
- Proposal 1 – Sounding Board for RAP captured in mtg summary & submitted during public comment period
  - Check with EIC (process)
  - No, hold on this

Page 1

---

300 Area

- If ROD is delayed beyond September, consider developing advice (instead of committee Sounding Board)
- Proposal 2 – Short advice for June Board mtg
  - Show plan for evaluating effectiveness for preferred alt 3A

IMs: Dale\*, Jean, Liz, Dan

Page 2

---

ROD Development for 100-F Area

- Oct 2013 earliest – 100-F Proposed Plan Rev 0 for public comment (30 day) (Feb 2014 “more realistic”)
- ROD – April 2014 (hopefully, or maybe Sept 2014)
- ☐ Request to see/provide EPA comments on Draft A to RAP
- Action: Develop advice on Draft A
  - Cont. develop at May RAP mtg
  - Anticipate for June Board mtg

IMs: Dale\*, Jean, Liz, Dan, Bob, Shelley

Page 3

---

Thoughts on Priorities for 2014/2015 Budget  
“Prequel” discussion to Thursday’s BCC joint committee meeting

Point for BCC mtg: Jean

- Higher risk sites have higher mortgage costs

- River Corridor
  - Focus on highest risk first
  - Protect the river (pump & treat)
  - K Basin sludge
  - Close to river sites
- Central Plateau
  - PFP
  - TRU
  - PUREX

Page 4

---

#### Budget Priorities cont.

“These are the criteria RAP (& the HAB) would use to make choices about priorities...”

- High risk – define what that means to HAB
- Take care of problems now to reduce future risk & cost
- It’s not important to shrink the site right now in a reduced budget time (e.g. LTS)
- Don’t spend cleanup \$ on “not cleanup projects”

Page 5

---

#### Budget Priorities cont.

- Things preventing achieving 2015 Vision are the high risk & high \$ sites (e.g. 324 Building, K Basin)
  - “Getting off the river” is a product
- Address source material first
- Get mobile before immobile/stable (e.g. 618-10/11)
- Use proven over unproven remedies first
- Not identifying a particular cleanup item (e.g. vadose zone) does not mean RAP doesn’t think it should be addressed
- Need criteria for long-lived contaminants
  - Could be part of risk definition/evaluation

Page 6

---

#### Land Transition at F Area

- Transition before the ROD & before cleanup is done? Discomfort with this process.
  - Public perception
- Bob\* draft conceptual advice to either stand alone or incorporate into 100-F Draft A advice

- For June Board mtg
- Bob draft with Dale, Jean, Liz, Dan, Shelley

Page 7

---

Reg Briefing on Comments on 100 D/H OU Proposed Plan Draft A

- ☐ Link to Ecy's comments, send to RAP

Next steps

- Track & see how regulator comments incorporated
- Proposed Plan Rev 0 2015

Page 8

---

BIN/FOLLOW UP

- ☐ Send link to past RCBRA advice
- 100 F Area LTS follow up with Keith Grindstaff (in a couple months)

Page 9

---

May

- 300 Area advice development
- F Area advice development
  - Draft A
  - LTS
- Looking forward from TC&WM EIS gw modeling

Page 10

---

June

- PNNL Deep Vadose Zone technologies (PNNL)
- Orchard lands OU work plan
  - Tiffany check if available
- 100 K West sludge – update
- Outcome of 2014 Budget
  - Have priorities changed based on budget

Page 11

---

July – typically off month

No mtg

August

- ASCEM & Phoenix modeling/platform
- Draft work plan for BC cribs WA-1 RI/FS  
Cleanup BC control area

Page 12

---

*OTHER: Larry Gadbois' (EPA) notes on modeling*

EIS      Modflow (does not handle dynamic river)  
Particle tracking

Used Tech Guidance Document (DOE & Ecology)

300 Area      STOMP 2-D for uranium (does handle dynamic river)  
Reactive Transport

100 Area      STOMP 1-D  
Reactive Transport

300 Area & 100 Area: EIS did not affect proposed cleanup levels

Page 13

---